

IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENT ON STUDENT ATTITUDES, ENGAGEMENT, AND LEARNING

Tina Gada*¹, Shreya Chudasama*²

*¹Sr. User Experience Designer, SUNY Oswego, Dallas, TX, USA.

*²Business Intelligent Manager, Verizon, Dallas, TX, USA.

DOI : <https://www.doi.org/10.56726/IRJMETS56247>

ABSTRACT

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in educational environments presents unique opportunities and challenges for student engagement, attitudes towards learning, and the cognitive processes involved in education. This paper explores the efficacy of AI tools in enhancing collaborative learning experiences, facilitating personalized learning paths, and potentially transforming traditional pedagogical approaches. By employing a quasi-experimental design, this study analyzes how AI influences learning dynamics across different collaborative learning settings.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Education, Student Engagement, AI-Enhanced Learning, Personalized Learning, Collaborative Learning, Quasi-Experimental Design, Cognitive Processes, Pedagogical Approaches, Constructivism, Community Of Inquiry, AI Tutors, Discussion Platforms, Biometric Engagement Measurement, Learning Outcomes.

I. INTRODUCTION

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the educational sector marks a pivotal shift in teaching and learning methodologies. AI's capabilities extend from automating administrative tasks to offering a personalized learning experience for students, potentially transforming educational environments to be more efficient and responsive to individual learner needs. This paper delves into the multifaceted role of AI in education, exploring how it not only enhances traditional practices but also paves the way for new forms of collaboration and learning.

1.1. Artificial Intelligence in Education

Artificial Intelligence offers a range of tools that can significantly enhance educational practices. AI systems can adapt to the learning pace of students, provide immediate feedback, and highlight areas that require additional focus. Moreover, AI's ability to analyze large datasets can help educators identify trends and patterns in student learning, enabling targeted interventions and enhancing educational outcomes.

1.2. AI-Enhanced Learning and Collaboration

AI technologies foster a collaborative learning environment by facilitating group activities that are more engaging and tailored to the collective needs of the group. Tools such as AI moderators or collaborative platforms can guide discussions, ensure all participants are active, and provide resources dynamically adjusted to the discussion's context. This integration of AI supports a more cohesive and interactive learning experience that can often surpass traditional collaborative methods.

1.3. Models of AI-Enhanced Learning

Various AI applications are being integrated into educational practices, including personalized learning algorithms that adapt content difficulty and presentation style to fit the learner's profile, and AI-driven collaborative tools that support group projects and peer learning. These models illustrate the versatility of AI in enhancing both individual and group learning experiences, accommodating a wide range of educational activities, and learning styles.

1.4. Theoretical Framework for AI in Education

The application of AI in education is grounded in several theoretical frameworks, most notably constructivism and the community of inquiry framework. Constructivism suggests that learners construct knowledge through experiences and interactions, a process well-supported by AI through simulated environments and problem-solving tasks. The community of inquiry framework emphasizes the importance of social presence, cognitive

presence, and teaching presence, which can be enriched through AI's capabilities to create engaging, interactive, and responsive learning environments.

1.5. AI, Student Engagement, and Collaborative Learning

AI's impact on student engagement is profound, particularly within collaborative learning scenarios. AI tools can monitor student engagement in real-time, using data to adjust the instructional approach or content delivery. This responsiveness not only maintains students' interest but also deepens their engagement by providing a learning experience that is continually aligned with their needs and preferences.

1.6. Purpose of This Study

This study aims to assess the impact of AI on student attitudes, engagement, and learning outcomes within collaborative learning environments. By comparing AI-enhanced learning environments with traditional ones, the study seeks to provide empirical data on the effectiveness of AI tools in improving educational outcomes and enhancing student learning experiences. The insights gained could guide educators and policymakers in effectively integrating AI technologies into educational curricula and strategies.

II. METHOD

This study employs a quasi-experimental research design to evaluate the impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on student attitudes, engagement, and learning outcomes in a university setting. The study was conducted at a large urban university, involving a total of 200 undergraduate students from four different academic disciplines: Sciences, Humanities, Engineering, and Business. The participant age range was 18 to 25 years. Participants were randomly assigned to one of two groups: a control group that continued with traditional learning methods and an experimental group that utilized AI-enhanced educational tools. This random assignment ensures that the effects observed can be attributed to the intervention rather than pre-existing differences between groups.

AI Tools Employed: The AI-enhanced learning environment included two primary technologies: AI Tutors: These are sophisticated algorithms capable of providing personalized learning experiences and feedback to students, adapting to individual learning speeds and styles (VanLehn, 2011). AI-Facilitated Discussion Platforms: These platforms use AI to enhance collaborative learning by moderating discussions, suggesting resources in real time, and ensuring equitable participation among all group members (Rosé et al., 2018). Both sets of tools were integrated into the university's existing learning management system (LMS), which facilitated a smooth transition and user experience for students and instructors alike.

Data Collection and Metrics:

Engagement: Student engagement was monitored using biometric sensors that tracked indicators such as eye movements, facial expressions, and engagement duration during learning sessions (D'Mello et al., 2017). This provided a continuous, objective measure of engagement.

Attitudes: Attitudes towards AI-enhanced learning were assessed using a structured pre- and post-intervention survey. The survey included Likert-scale questions designed to measure students' perceptions of the AI tools' effectiveness and their overall satisfaction with the learning process.

Learning Outcomes: Learning outcomes were measured through a combination of standardized test scores obtained before and after the intervention. These tests assessed not only factual knowledge retention but also higher-order cognitive skills like analysis and synthesis, which are crucial for gauging critical thinking abilities (Bloom et al., 1956). This methodological approach ensures a comprehensive evaluation of AI's effects on various dimensions of student learning, providing valuable insights into how such technologies can be optimized for educational use in diverse academic contexts. By analyzing both qualitative and quantitative data, the study aims to offer a balanced perspective on the benefits and potential drawbacks of integrating AI into higher education curricula.

III. RESULT

The analysis of the data collected through biometric sensors, surveys, and standardized tests yielded insightful results regarding the impact of AI on student engagement, attitudes, and learning outcomes in the experimental group compared to the control group.

Measurement	Control Group	Experimental Group
Engagement (Average Attentive Span)	50% attentive during sessions	80% attentive during AI sessions

V. REFERENCES

- [1] Baker, R. S. (2016). Studying student engagement in massive open online courses: A review using the engagement framework. *Computers & Education*, 92, 68-78.
- [2] Bloom, B. S., Englehart, M. D., Furst, E. J., Hill, W. H., & Krathwohl, D. R. (1956). *Taxonomy of educational objectives: The classification of educational goals. Handbook I: Cognitive Domain*. New York: David McKay Co Inc.
- [3] D'Mello, S., Dieterle, E., & Duckworth, A. (2017). Advanced, analytic, automated (AAA) measurement of engagement during learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 52(2), 104-123.
- [4] Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., & Smith, K. A. (2017). Cooperative learning: Improving university instruction by basing practice on validated theory. *Journal on Excellence in College Teaching*, 25(3&4), 85-118.
- [5] Papamitsiou, Z., & Economides, A. A. (2014). Learning analytics and educational data mining in practice: A systematic literature review of empirical evidence. *Educational Technology & Society*, 17(4), 49-64.
- [6] Rosé, C. P., Wang, Y. C., Cui, Y., Arguello, J., Stegmann, K., Weinberger, A., & Fischer, F. (2018). Socially-aware tutorial dialogue agents: Motivating students to engage in dialogue through social interaction. *Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 10947, 552-556.
- [7] VanLehn, K. (2011). The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems, and other tutoring systems. *Educational Psychologist*, 46(4), 197-221.
- [8] Wiliam, D. (2018). *Embedded formative assessment*. (2nd ed.). Bloomington, IN: Solution Tree Press.
- [9] Aleven, V., Roll, I., McLaren, B. M., & Koedinger, K. R. (2016). Toward meta-cognitive tutoring: A model of help seeking with a Cognitive Tutor. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 26(1), 611-644. This reference explores the role of AI tutors in fostering meta-cognitive skills such as help-seeking, which is critical for autonomous learning.
- [10] Bull, S., & Kay, J. (2016). SMILI © : A Framework for Interfaces to Learning Data in Open Learner Models, Learning Analytics and Related Fields. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 26(1), 293-331. This article provides a comprehensive framework for understanding how interfaces can be designed to make learning analytics data accessible and useful to learners, enhancing engagement and self-regulated learning.
- [11] Dietz-Uhler, B., & Hurn, J. E. (2013). Using learning analytics to predict (and improve) student success: A faculty perspective. *Journal of Interactive Online Learning*, 12(1), 17-26. This piece discusses the faculty perspective on using learning analytics to forecast and enhance student performance, an aspect central to AI-enhanced education.
- [12] Graesser, A. C., D'Mello, S. K., & Strain, A. C. (2013). Emotions in Advanced Learning Technologies. In R. A. Calvo & S. K. D'Mello (Eds.), *New Perspectives on Affect and Learning Technologies* (pp. 11-29). New York, NY: Springer. This reference delves into the emotional responses elicited by advanced learning technologies, which is crucial for understanding engagement.
- [13] Heffernan, N. T., & Heffernan, C. L. (2014). The ASSISTments Ecosystem: Building a platform that brings scientists and teachers together for minimally invasive research on human learning and teaching. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 24(4), 470-497. This paper describes an ecosystem that integrates educational data mining tools with teaching practice, illustrating a successful model of AI implementation in real-world classrooms.
- [14] Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence Unleashed: An argument for AI in Education*. Pearson Education. This resource provides a broad overview of the potential applications and benefits of AI in education, framing AI as a pivotal tool in modern educational reforms.
- [15] Sottolare, R. A., Graesser, A. C., Hu, X., & Holden, H. K., eds. (2013). *Design recommendations for intelligent tutoring systems: Volume 1- Learner modeling*. Orlando, FL: Army Research Laboratory.