

International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science (Peer-Reviewed, Open Access, Fully Refereed International Journal) Volume:07/Issue:01/January-2025 Impact Factor- 8.187 www.irjmets.com

A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF SEMI-SUPERVISED MACHINE LEARNING TECHNIQUES FOR EFFICIENT DDOS ATTACK DETECTION AND MITIGATION

Pratibha Singh^{*1}, Ram Krishna Paramhans Dubey^{*2}

*1M.Tech Scholar, Dept. Of CSE, S R Institute Of Management & Technology, (AKTU), Lucknow, India.
*2Assistant Professors, Dept. Of CSE, S R Institute Of Management & Technology, (AKTU),

Lucknow, India.

ABSTRACT

Distributed Denial-of-Service (DDoS) attacks pose a significant threat to network security, disrupting services by overwhelming systems with a flood of malicious traffic. The detection and mitigation of such attacks require robust machine learning techniques capable of handling large volumes of data and adapting to the evolving nature of attack strategies. This paper presents a comprehensive review of semi-supervised machine learning techniques for DDoS attack detection and mitigation. Semi-supervised learning, which leverages both labeled and unlabeled data, offers an efficient solution for DDoS detection, especially in scenarios where labeled attack data is scarce or costly to obtain. We explore various algorithms within the semi-supervised paradigm, including self-training, co-training, and graph-based methods, and their application to DDoS detection. The review also discusses the integration of semi-supervised learning with other advanced techniques such as anomaly detection, feature extraction, and deep learning to enhance detection accuracy and reduce false positives. Furthermore, the paper examines the challenges and opportunities presented by semi-supervised approaches, including data imbalance, real-time detection, and adaptive mitigation strategies. By analyzing the strengths and limitations of existing methods, this review aims to provide a foundation for future research and development in the field of DDoS attack defense using semi-supervised machine learning.

Keywords: Distributed Denial-Of-Service (Ddos), Malicious Traffic, Machine Learning, Semi-Supervised Learning.

I. INTRODUCTION

Distributed Denial-of-Service (DDoS) attacks have emerged as one of the most prevalent and disruptive forms of cyberattacks, targeting a wide range of online services and infrastructures. By overwhelming systems with an enormous volume of traffic, DDoS attacks can incapacitate websites, servers, and even entire networks, leading to significant financial and operational losses. The dynamic nature of DDoS attacks, coupled with the increasing sophistication of attack vectors, has necessitated the development of advanced detection and mitigation strategies to safeguard critical systems (Mirkovic & Reiher, 2004).

Machine learning (ML) techniques have gained considerable attention in recent years as effective tools for automated DDoS attack detection, due to their ability to analyze large volumes of network traffic and learn complex patterns of normal and malicious behaviors. However, the success of traditional supervised learning approaches is often hindered by the lack of labeled data, as it is costly and time-consuming to label vast amounts of network traffic data (Ahmed et al., 2016). To address this challenge, semi-supervised learning (SSL) has emerged as a promising solution, utilizing both labeled and unlabeled data to improve the accuracy of models while reducing the dependence on labeled samples (Zhou et al., 2011). This approach is particularly beneficial in real-world scenarios where acquiring labeled attack data is challenging, yet unlabeled traffic is abundant.

In semi-supervised learning, the model is initially trained with a small set of labeled data and a larger pool of unlabeled data. The model then attempts to propagate knowledge from labeled to unlabeled instances, thereby leveraging both data types for improved generalization and performance (Chapelle et al., 2006). Various semi-supervised algorithms, including self-training, co-training, and graph-based techniques, have been explored for DDoS detection and mitigation, each offering distinct advantages and challenges in different application contexts (Zhang & Zhou, 2014).



International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science

(Peer-Reviewed, Open Access, Fully Refereed International Journal) Volume:07/Issue:01/January-2025 Impact Factor- 8.187 ww

www.irjmets.com

This paper provides a comprehensive review of the application of semi-supervised machine learning techniques for DDoS attack detection and mitigation. We explore a variety of semi-supervised approaches, discuss their integration with advanced techniques such as anomaly detection and deep learning, and evaluate their performance in the context of DDoS defense. Through this review, we aim to highlight the potential of semi-supervised learning in improving the efficiency and effectiveness of DDoS attack mitigation, while also addressing the challenges associated with these techniques.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The detection and mitigation of Distributed Denial-of-Service (DDoS) attacks have been extensively studied over the past two decades, with significant advancements made in the application of machine learning (ML) techniques. While supervised learning methods have been widely used for DDoS detection, they often require large amounts of labeled data, which is not always feasible in practice. As a result, semi-supervised learning (SSL) techniques, which can effectively utilize both labeled and unlabeled data, have become a key area of focus in DDoS attack detection research.

Supervised Learning for DDoS Detection: Supervised machine learning techniques have traditionally been the foundation of DDoS detection systems. Methods such as decision trees, support vector machines (SVM), and random forests have been employed to identify malicious traffic patterns in network data (Ahmed et al., 2016). For example, Moustafa and Slay (2015) proposed an SVM-based DDoS detection system using network traffic features, demonstrating the effectiveness of supervised learning techniques for detecting attacks. However, these methods face challenges in practical deployment, primarily due to the scarcity of labeled attack data, which is needed to train robust models.

Semi-Supervised Learning for DDoS Detection: To address the limitations of supervised learning, semisupervised learning has been explored as a promising solution. SSL methods combine a small amount of labeled data with a large set of unlabeled data, enabling the model to learn from both data types. One of the earliest studies exploring SSL for DDoS detection is by Yusoff et al. (2015), who applied a self-training SSL model to detect DDoS attacks in real-time network traffic. The model used an initial set of labeled data to build a classifier, which was then iteratively refined using unlabeled traffic data. This approach showed promising results in improving detection accuracy while reducing the need for labeled data.

Self-Training Techniques: Self-training is one of the most widely studied semi-supervised methods for DDoS detection. In this technique, the model is first trained on a small set of labeled data, and then it iteratively classifies unlabeled data, adding the most confident predictions back into the training set (Zhou et al., 2005). A study by Frosini et al. (2016) used a self-training approach to classify DDoS traffic based on flow features. The results indicated that self-training could significantly enhance the detection capabilities of DDoS systems, particularly in environments where labeled data is limited.

Co-Training Techniques: Co-training is another semi-supervised learning approach that has been applied to DDoS detection. This method involves training two separate classifiers on different views or feature sets of the data, with the classifiers helping each other to label unlabeled instances. Zhang and Zhou (2014) explored co-training for DDoS detection and demonstrated that it could improve the performance of detection systems by using diverse feature sets and leveraging unlabeled data. The approach showed particular promise when dealing with multi-class attacks, as it could incorporate information from multiple sources of data.

Graph-Based Methods: Graph-based semi-supervised learning methods have also gained attention in DDoS detection. These methods model the relationships between data points as a graph, where nodes represent instances, and edges represent similarities between them. The idea is to propagate labels through the graph from labeled to unlabeled nodes, allowing the model to make predictions for the unlabeled data. Yang et al. (2017) applied graph-based SSL techniques to DDoS detection and found that these methods outperformed traditional approaches, especially in scenarios with a limited amount of labeled data. Graph-based methods excel at capturing the inherent structure in the data and can efficiently propagate label information across large datasets.

Anomaly Detection and Deep Learning Integration: Integrating SSL with anomaly detection techniques has also been explored to enhance DDoS detection performance. Anomaly detection techniques identify traffic patterns that deviate from the norm, which is particularly useful for detecting new or unknown DDoS attack variants.



International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science (Peer-Reviewed, Open Access, Fully Refereed International Journal)

Volume:07/Issue:01/January-2025Impact Factor- 8.187www.irjmets.com

Wang et al. (2019) combined semi-supervised learning with anomaly detection to detect zero-day DDoS attacks, which are typically difficult to identify using traditional signature-based methods. Additionally, recent advancements in deep learning have been integrated with SSL to improve detection capabilities. Li et al. (2020) proposed a hybrid model combining deep neural networks with semi-supervised learning, demonstrating significant improvements in DDoS detection accuracy, especially when labeled data is sparse.

Challenges and Opportunities: While semi-supervised learning techniques offer significant advantages in DDoS detection, several challenges remain. Data imbalance is a common issue, as DDoS attack traffic is often much smaller than normal traffic, making it difficult for models to learn effective detection patterns. Additionally, the evolving nature of DDoS attacks presents a challenge, as new attack vectors require models to continually adapt. There is also the issue of real-time detection, as DDoS attacks can cause immediate disruptions, requiring detection systems to act quickly and efficiently (Chen et al., 2018).

Despite these challenges, SSL methods offer several advantages, including reduced reliance on labeled data and the ability to detect previously unknown attacks. Future research should focus on improving the robustness of SSL methods, addressing data imbalance issues, and exploring the integration of SSL with other advanced techniques such as reinforcement learning for dynamic defense strategies.

The use of semi-supervised learning for DDoS attack detection and mitigation has shown considerable promise in recent years. By effectively leveraging both labeled and unlabeled data, SSL techniques can provide an efficient and scalable solution to the challenges posed by DDoS attacks. While there are still several obstacles to overcome, including data imbalance and real-time detection requirements, semi-supervised learning has the potential to significantly enhance the performance of DDoS defense systems.

Title	Author	Yea r	Research Focus	Methodolog y	Key Findings/Contributi on	Source
A Survey of Network Anomaly Detection Techniques	Ahmed et al.	201 6	Overview of network anomaly detection methods	Survey of various anomaly detection techniques	Identified key methods like clustering, classification, and statistical models used for DDoS detection	Journal of Network and Computer Applications
A Hybrid Model for DDoS Attack Detection Using Deep Learning	Dhanalaksh mi & Venkatesh	202 0	Deep learning in DDoS detection	Hybrid deep learning model combining CNNs and RNNs	High detection accuracy for sophisticated DDoS attacks	Computers, Materials & Continua
A Taxonomy of DDoS Attack and Defense Mechanisms	Mirkovic & Reiher	200 4	DDoS attack taxonomy and defense strategies	Conceptual framework	Provides a comprehensive classification of DDoS attacks and corresponding defense strategies	ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communicatio n Review
Identifying Important Features for DDoS Attack Detection Using Support Vector	Sung & Mukkamala	200 3	Feature selection for DDoS detection	SVM and neural network- based models	Emphasized feature selection to improve detection accuracy for DDoS attacks	Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning Applications

Table 1: Literature review table based on previous year research paper key findings



International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science (Peer-Reviewed, Open Access, Fully Refereed International Journal) Volume:07/Issue:01/January-2025 Impact Factor- 8,187 www.irimets.com

Volume:07/Iss	ue:01/January	-2025	Impact Factor- 8.187			www.irjmets.com	
Machines and Neural Networks							
Combining Labeled and Unlabeled Data with Co- Training	Blum & Mitchell	199 8	Co-training approach for semi- supervised learning	Co-training with multiple classifiers	Introduced co-training as an effective SSML method to improve classification with limited labeled data	Proceedings of the 11th Annual Conference on Computational Learning Theory	
Semi- Supervised Machine Learning for Network Security: A Comprehensiv e Survey and Applications to DDoS Attack Detection	Bedi et al.	202 1	SSML applications in network security	Survey on SSML techniques	Reviewed SSML techniques and highlighted their effectiveness in improving DDoS detection	Computer Networks	
DDoS Detection Using Machine Learning and Statistical Methods	Zhang et al.	201 9	Machine learning and statistical methods in DDoS detection	SVM, decision trees, and statistical methods	Achieved good detection accuracy using machine learning models for DDoS detection	Computer Networks	
Detection of DDoS Attacks Using Clustering and Anomaly Detection	Ahmed et al.	201 6	Anomaly- based detection using clustering	K-means and DBSCAN clustering	Demonstrated the effectiveness of clustering-based anomaly detection in identifying DDoS attacks	International Journal of Computer Applications	
DDoS Attack Detection Using Ensemble Learning Approach	Kaur et al.	201 8	Ensemble learning for DDoS detection	Random forest, decision trees, SVM	Proposed ensemble learning for better accuracy and reduced false positive rates	Journal of Electrical Engineering & Technology	
Anomaly Detection in DDoS Attacks: A Survey	Chandran et al.	201 9	Anomaly detection for DDoS attacks	Statistical and machine learning models	Compared various anomaly detection methods and their application to DDoS attack detection	Journal of Network Security	
Semi- Supervised Learning for	Zhang et al.	202 0	Semi- supervised learning for	Self-training and co- training	Showed the feasibility of using SSML for DDoS detection in	International Journal of Information	

@International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering, Technology and Science [608]



International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science (Peer-Reviewed, Open Access, Fully Refereed International Journal) Volume:07/Issue:01/January-2025 Impact Factor- 8.187 www.irimets.com

Volume:07/Issue:01/January-2025			11	npact Factor-	8.187 ww	www.irjmets.com	
DDoS Attack			DDoS	approaches	real-world	Security	
Detection			detection		environments		
with							
Unlabeled							
Traffic Data							
А							
Comparative							
Study of			Comparison		Evaluated the		
Supervised			of	SVM, k-NN,		International	
and	Tiwari et al.	201	supervised	and	performance of	Journal of	
Unsupervised	l Iwari et al.	7	vs.	clustering	supervised and	Computer	
Learning			unsupervise	methods	unsupervised models for DDoS detection	Science	
Techniques			d methods		for DD05 detection		
for DDoS							
Detection							
DDoS							
Detection			Neural		Applied deep learning	International	
Using Neural	Mahalakshmi	202	networks in	Deep neural	for identifying subtle	Journal of	
Networks	& Chitra	0	DDoS	networks	patterns in DDoS	Computer	
with Deep			detection		attack traffic	Applications	
Learning							
Using Graph-							
Based Semi-			Graph-		Proposed a graph-		
Supervised		201	based semi-		based approach for	Journal of	
Learning for	Wang et al.	201	supervised	Graph-based methods	anomaly detection in	Computer	
Network		9	learning for	methods	large-scale networks,	Science and	
Anomaly			DDoS		improving DDoS	Technology	
Detection			detection		attack detection		
Enhancing					Improved DDoS		
DDoS Attack			Multi-view		detection		
Detection		202	semi-	Multi-view	performance by	Computers,	
Using Multi-	Li et al.	202 1	supervised	learning	combining different	Materials &	
View Semi-			learning for DDoS	approach	feature views in a	Continua	
Supervised			detection		semi-supervised		
Learning			detection		framework		
L	1	1					

III. METHODOLOGIES

In This section outlines the methodologies employed in the review of semi-supervised machine learning techniques for DDoS attack detection and mitigation. We focus on the key semi-supervised learning algorithms, their application to network traffic analysis, and the evaluation methods used to assess the performance of these techniques in detecting and mitigating DDoS attacks. The methodologies include an exploration of the specific SSL algorithms used, the feature extraction techniques for network traffic, and the evaluation metrics for performance measurement.

3.1. Semi-Supervised Learning Techniques

The core of this study is the examination of various semi-supervised learning (SSL) techniques that have been applied to DDoS detection. The following SSL algorithms are evaluated for their effectiveness in detecting and mitigating DDoS attacks:

Self-Training:

Self-training is a simple and widely used semi-supervised learning approach. In self-training, a model is first trained on a small set of labeled data. It then uses this initial model to label a larger set of unlabeled data. The



International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science (Peer-Reviewed, Open Access, Fully Refereed International Journal)

Volume:07/Issue:01/January-2025 Impact Factor- 8.187

www.irjmets.com

model iteratively retrains itself using the newly labeled instances, improving its accuracy over successive iterations. This process continues until the model converges or a predefined number of iterations is reached. The self-training method was applied to DDoS detection by iteratively classifying and labeling network traffic, thus leveraging both labeled and unlabeled data for model improvement (Yusoff et al., 2015).

Co-Training:

Co-training is a semi-supervised learning method in which two classifiers are trained on different views or feature sets of the data, with each classifier helping to label unlabeled instances for the other. In the context of DDoS detection, co-training has been applied using distinct feature sets derived from network traffic data, such as flow statistics and packet-level features. This approach is particularly useful when the available labeled data is limited but multiple feature representations are available. Co-training is beneficial in improving the generalization of the model by using complementary information (Zhang & Zhou, 2014).

Graph-Based Methods:

Graph-based semi-supervised learning methods model the data as a graph, where nodes represent instances of data, and edges represent the similarity between instances. In DDoS detection, graph-based methods propagate labels from labeled nodes to unlabeled nodes based on their proximity in the graph. This technique effectively utilizes the structure of the data, allowing for the propagation of labels to similar unlabeled instances, improving detection accuracy. The graph-based approach is particularly useful when the data has a natural relationship structure, such as temporal correlations in network traffic (Yang et al., 2017).

3.2 Feature Extraction and Selection

The performance of machine learning models, particularly semi-supervised learning models, heavily depends on the quality of the input features. In the case of DDoS detection, a variety of network traffic features are considered for analysis, such as packet size, flow duration, traffic rate, and network protocol types. The features used in this study are selected from both packet-level and flow-level data:

Packet-Level Features:

Packet-level features are extracted from individual packets within a network stream. These features typically include:

Packet size

Inter-arrival time between packets

Protocol type (TCP, UDP, etc.)

Source and destination IP addresses

Flags (e.g., SYN, ACK)

These features are useful for capturing fine-grained network behavior, which can be indicative of attack patterns, particularly in volumetric attacks where the size and frequency of packets may be unusually high.

Flow-Level Features:

Flow-level features are extracted from the aggregated data of network traffic over time, typically at the transport or application layer. These features include:

Flow duration

Number of packets in the flow

Bytes per flow

Flow protocol types

Average flow rate

Flow-level features provide a higher-level view of traffic patterns and are useful in detecting more sophisticated DDoS attacks, such as application-layer DDoS attacks.

3.3. Evaluation Metrics

The performance of the semi-supervised learning models is evaluated using several standard metrics to assess both detection accuracy and the effectiveness of attack mitigation strategies. The following evaluation metrics are considered:



International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science

(Peer-Reviewed, Open Access, Fully Refereed International Journal) Volume:07/Issue:01/January-2025 Impact Factor- 8.187 www.irjmets.com

Accuracy:

Accuracy measures the overall performance of the model by calculating the ratio of correct predictions to the total number of predictions. It is a commonly used metric in classification tasks, but it may not be suitable in the case of imbalanced datasets, such as those often encountered in DDoS detection, where malicious traffic is much less frequent than normal traffic.

Precision and Recall:

Precision and recall are more appropriate for imbalanced datasets. Precision measures the proportion of true positive predictions among all positive predictions made by the model. Recall, on the other hand, measures the proportion of actual positives that are correctly identified by the model. Both metrics are critical in evaluating how well the model detects DDoS attacks while minimizing false positives (precision) and false negatives (recall).

F1-Score:

The F1-score is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, providing a single metric that balances the trade-off between the two. It is particularly useful when the class distribution is imbalanced, as is often the case in DDoS attack detection, where attacks are rarer than normal traffic.

Area Under the ROC Curve (AUC):

The AUC measures the ability of the model to discriminate between positive and negative classes across all possible thresholds. A higher AUC value indicates a better-performing model in distinguishing attack traffic from normal traffic.

Detection Latency:

Detection latency refers to the time taken by the model to identify a DDoS attack from the moment it begins. Real-time detection is crucial in mitigating DDoS attacks before significant damage is done, so models with lower detection latency are preferred.

3.4. Experimental Setup

To evaluate the performance of the semi-supervised learning techniques, we conducted experiments using publicly available datasets such as the CICIDS 2017 DDoS dataset and the KDD Cup 1999 dataset. These datasets contain both labeled and unlabeled network traffic data, allowing for the application of semi-supervised learning methods.

In each experiment, the models are trained on a small subset of labeled data (typically 10-20% of the total dataset) and a large portion of unlabeled data. The models are then tested on a separate test set to assess their generalization performance. Cross-validation techniques are employed to ensure the robustness of the results.

3.5. Data Preprocessing

Prior to training the models, the network traffic data undergoes preprocessing steps to remove irrelevant features, handle missing values, and normalize the data. Feature scaling is also applied to ensure that all features contribute equally to the model, particularly for distance-based algorithms like SVM and k-nearest neighbors (KNN).

The methodologies employed in this study focus on evaluating various semi-supervised learning algorithms for DDoS detection, extracting relevant features from network traffic, and assessing model performance using standard evaluation metrics. These methodologies are designed to provide a comprehensive understanding of the potential and challenges of using semi-supervised learning for DDoS detection and mitigation, and to guide future developments in this area.

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper provides a comprehensive review of semi-supervised machine learning techniques for the detection and mitigation of Distributed Denial-of-Service (DDoS) attacks. DDoS attacks remain one of the most significant threats to online services, and the evolving nature of these attacks requires robust, adaptive, and efficient detection systems. Semi-supervised learning (SSL) offers a promising solution to the challenge of limited labeled data by utilizing both labeled and unlabeled network traffic, enabling models to improve their performance even when labeled attack data is scarce.



International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science (Peer-Reviewed, Open Access, Fully Refereed International Journal)

Volume:07/Issue:01/January-2025 Impact Factor- 8.187

www.irjmets.com

We have explored several SSL approaches, including self-training, co-training, and graph-based methods, each demonstrating unique advantages in enhancing the detection capabilities of DDoS defense systems. Self-training models have proven effective by iteratively labeling and refining network traffic data, while co-training and graph-based techniques have shown potential for utilizing multiple feature sets and the inherent structure of the data, respectively. These methods are particularly valuable in scenarios where the cost of acquiring labeled data is prohibitive and where large volumes of unlabeled data are available.

Additionally, the integration of SSL with advanced techniques such as anomaly detection and deep learning has further advanced the state of DDoS detection. By combining SSL with deep neural networks, models can learn complex attack patterns and adapt to new attack vectors. The performance of these models is evaluated using standard metrics, such as accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and AUC, which are critical in assessing the effectiveness of DDoS detection systems.

Despite the promising results, several challenges remain, including data imbalance, the need for real-time detection, and the continuous evolution of attack strategies. Future research should focus on refining SSL methods to address these challenges, particularly by developing strategies to mitigate data imbalance and improving detection latency. Furthermore, the potential for integrating SSL with reinforcement learning techniques for dynamic DDoS defense should be explored.

In conclusion, semi-supervised machine learning techniques hold significant potential for enhancing DDoS attack detection and mitigation. By reducing the reliance on labeled data while leveraging large amounts of unlabeled traffic, SSL approaches can provide scalable and effective solutions for real-time DDoS defense systems. This review serves as a foundation for future research and development in the area of semi-supervised learning for network security, offering insights into both current advancements and areas for further exploration.

V. REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmed, M., Mahmood, A. N., & Hu, J. (2016). A survey of network anomaly detection techniques. Journal of Network and Computer Applications, 60, 19-31. Chapelle, O., Scholkopf, B., & Zien, A. (2006). Semi-Supervised Learning. MIT Press.
- [2] Mirkovic, J., & Reiher, P. (2004). A taxonomy of DDoS attack and defense mechanisms. ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review, 34(2), 39-53.
- [3] Zhang, L., & Zhou, Z. H. (2014). Semi-supervised learning with graph-based methods. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 26(6), 1543-1557.
- [4] Zhou, Z. H., & Li, M. (2011). Semi-supervised learning literature survey. Computer Science Technical Report, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- [5] Ahmed, M., Mahmood, A. N., & Hu, J. (2016). A survey of network anomaly detection techniques. Journal of Network and Computer Applications, 60, 19-31.
- [6] Chen, Y., Liu, J., & Yang, Z. (2018). Real-time DDoS detection with semi-supervised learning. Journal of Computer Networks, 140, 147-157.
- [7] Frosini, L., Soni, A., & Boschi, M. (2016). DDoS attack detection using semi-supervised learning. International Journal of Computer Applications, 149(8), 35-44.
- [8] Moustafa, N., & Slay, J. (2015). DDoS attack detection and classification using machine learning. Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Information and Communication Technologies, 2015.
- [9] Wang, X., Li, Y., & Chen, X. (2019). Hybrid semi-supervised learning model for zero-day DDoS detection. Journal of Cyber Security, 15(2), 45-60.
- [10] Yang, X., Zhang, L., & Zhang, Y. (2017). A graph-based semi-supervised learning approach for DDoS attack detection. Computers & Security, 66, 217-227.
- [11] Yusoff, H., Zaki, M., & Kamal, A. (2015). DDoS attack detection using semi-supervised learning. Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Communications, 2015.
- [12] Zhang, L., & Zhou, Z. H. (2014). Semi-supervised learning with graph-based methods. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 26(6), 1543-1557.
- [13] Zhou, Z. H., & Li, M. (2005). Semi-supervised learning literature survey. Computer Science Technical Report, University of Wisconsin-Madison.



International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science (Peer-Reviewed, Open Access, Fully Refereed International Journal)

Volume:07/Issue:01/January-2025 Impact Factor- 8.187

www.irjmets.com

- [14] Zhang, Y., Wang, W., & Liu, Y. (2019). DDoS detection using machine learning and statistical methods. Computer Networks, 148, 1-12.
- [15] Ahmed, M., Mahmood, A. N., & Hu, J. (2016). Detection of DDoS attacks using clustering and anomaly detection. International Journal of Computer Applications, 131(1), 40-47.
- [16] Kaur, P., Sood, S. K., & Singh, S. (2018). DDoS attack detection using ensemble learning approach. Journal of Electrical Engineering & Technology, 13(4), 1360-1368.
- [17] Chandran, D., Gaur, M., & Soni, R. (2019). Anomaly detection in DDoS attacks: A survey. Journal of Network Security, 2020, 1-15.
- [18] Tiwari, A., Sharma, S., & Maheswari, M. (2017). A comparative study of supevised and unsupervised learning techniques for DDoS detection. International Journal of Computer Science, 14(6), 45-53.
- [19] Mahalakshmi, R., & Chitra, T. (2020). DDoS detection using neural networks with deep learning. International Journal of Computer Applications, 174(7), 30-38.
- [20] Wang, X., Lu, Z., & Zhang, J. (2019). Using graph-based semi-supervised learning for network anomaly detection. Journal of Computer Science and Technology, 34(1), 56-69.