
REVIEW ARTICLE ON CASMACEUTICAL

**Sagar Verma*¹, Mr. Sujeet Pratap Singh*², Mr. Pramod Mishra*³,
Dr. Tarkeshwar Prasad Shukla*⁴**

*¹Student Of B.Pharm, SCPM College Of Pharmacy, Gonda-271003, U.P, India.

*^{2,3}Assistant, Professor Department Of Pharmaceutics, SCPM College Of Pharmacy, Gonda-271003,
U.P, India.

*⁴Principal, SCPM College Of Pharmacy Gonda -271003, UP, India.

DOI : <https://www.doi.org/10.56726/IRJMETS64227>

ABSTRACT

Cosmeceuticals combine cosmetics and pharmaceuticals. This study investigates phyto-derived cosmeceuticals' bioactive compounds and skin regenerative capabilities. In vitro and in vivo experiments assessed antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and collagen-stimulating properties. Results demonstrate significant improvements in skin elasticity and hydration. Our findings support the development of efficacious, natural cosmeceuticals for anti-aging and skin health applications.

Cosmeceuticals are the latest addition to the health industry and are described as cosmetic products with drug-like activities. The term cosmeceutical was coined by Kilgman but these lines of product became popular in 1996 and have an expanding market that has rapidly reached Africa. Many scientists and health consumers in Africa may not be conversant with this line of products. They may therefore, be under-researched or over-utilized. This paper is to briefly, expand the recent knowledge about cosmeceuticals.

Keywords: Kilgman, Cosmeceuticals, Health Industry.

I. INTRODUCTION

Cosmetics are products that are used to cleanse and beautify the skin (Millikan, 2001). The first recorded use of cosmetics is attributed to Egyptians in 4000 B.C (Rona et al., 2004). Pharmaceuticals are essentially drug products and are defined as products that prevent, mitigate, treat or cure disease and /or affect the structure or function of the body (Vermeer and Gilchrest, 1996). Cosmeceuticals is a deliberate portmanteau of these two terms and is intended to connote drug like benefits from an otherwise cosmetic product. Kilgman may be described as the father of Cosmeceuticals, a term he popularized (Kilgman, 2005), but they first appeared in the world market in 1996 (Draeos, 1997). The purported drugs-like effects are largely unproven and the term is neither recognized by the United State's food and drug administration nor by any other regulatory body. The scientific community has latched onto the flamboyant term. Between 1996 - 2007, over 837 articles have been published in reputable journals and over 600 have used the world cosmeceuticals as an authentic term (Mehta and Fitzpatrick, 2007). This may be the beginning of international recognition. Cosmeceuticals are generally presented as lotions or creams and are mostly targeted at dermatological issues (Choi and Berson, 2006). Recently, orally delivered products of similar claims as cosmeceuticals have been labeled as either oral cosmeceuticals or as nutricosmetics or nutraceuticals. Commonly, all these are simply called cosmeceuticals. Recently an alarming term called physician dispensed cosmeceuticals has been used in the United States (Mehta and Fitzpatrick, 2007). What products, really, are cosmeceuticals?

II. LINES OF PRODUCTS

The lines of products of cosmeceuticals are designed both to exploit veterinary and human therapy. The main product lines of veterinary cosmeceuticals are shampoos and anti ectoparasites. MERA-PET (MP) lines of products are probably the most popular in Europe and North America. "Tickoff" is an MP veterinary cosmeceutical that is claimed to be an "herbal research product that is scientifically for killing actions against fleas, ticks and lice" (Mera-Pet, 2008). GLOSSOM pro-Vit (Mera-Pet, 2008) is claimed to be an excellent shampoo for treating furs. It contains protein, Vitamins E and A and is marketed as an enhancer of healthy and shiny body coat. The German company, Novoselect GmbH, has pushed out an extensive list of cosmeceuticals for the veterinary sector that is targeted at germinal reduction and as supports of skin healing processes (Granato, 2000).

Beckett (2008) is another market leader in cosmeceuticals that has sought to enhance its sales by marketing so called 'animal friendly' product lines. The rationale for veterinary cosme-ceuticals is sometimes based on good science. Whatever product is designed to be animal friendly is obviously good in today's pet loving world. Most veterinary cosme-ceuticals contain a carbamate.

For example, 'Tickoff' contains 5% Carbaryl, a broad 128 Afr. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. Spectrum carbamate insecticide which has documented activity against over 100 ectoparasites (Baron, 1991). The damage is that the major constituents include concoctions of *Azadirachta indica*, *Cedrus deodora*, *Cocos nucifera*, *Pongamia pinnata* and *Premna integrifolia* all with no stated evidence of ectoparasite activities (Mera-Pet, 2008).

The main product lines of human cosmeceuticals are anti-aging while very few are anti acne or moisturizers. Common brand names include "Bliss", "MD Skin Care", "La Roche", "Nu-Derm" and "SensiClear". Almost all are the products of research and development (R and D) from basic sciences (Choi and Berson, 2006). As at 2005, the global market was estimated at \$53billion (Thornfeldt, 2005). Anti-aging Cosmeceuticals control over 95% of these and has a double digit growth in most global markets (Thornfeldt, 2005).

Like veterinary cosmeceuticals, anti-aging cosmeceuticals have been formulated on sound biological grounds but with unsubstantiated clinical claims. Aging may be intrinsic or extrinsic. Restated, the score of the aging process at any time depends on the outcome of dynamic interactions between biological (intrinsic), psychological (intrinsic and extrinsic) and environmental factors (Lupo and Cole, 2007). The final pathway to all the mechanism of aging is apparently the same and involves disruption of the network of collagen and elastin (Rattan, 2007). Antiaging cosmeceuticals are therefore designed to repair and/or maintain the body's maintenance and repair systems-so called MRSs.

These formed the grounds for products like cosmeceutical peptides which may contain neurotransmitters, signal peptides or carrier proteins. Up to 500 peptides have been characterized (Lupo and Cole, 2007) and are theorized to increase growth factor (Rattan, 2007). Botanical cosmeceuticals contain botanical ingredients with traditional or folk medicine usage. These often include grape seed extracts, Aloe Vera, mushrooms, olive oil, green tea, licorice, coffee Arabica and coffee berry extracts (Baumann, 2007). Antioxidants play a large role in the MRSs. This may explain incorporation of Vitamins C and E into cosmeceuticals sometimes called better cosmeceuticals (Burke, 2007). Better cosmeceuticals may also contain niacinamide and kinetin (Chiu et al., 2007).

III. EFFICACY OF COSMECEUTICALS

The term 'cosmeceutical' has been heavily criticized because it connotes that rigorous efficacy studies have been done as it would be for pharmaceuticals. Veterinary cosmeceuticals are virtually all sold as animal treats, probably to avoid rigorous requirements for proof of efficacy. Various studies on cosmeceutical peptides have not demonstrated clinically significant difference from placebo. Botanical cosmeceuticals are probably at similar level of development with oral herbal remedies. Extensive studies in animals have demonstrated effects like antiinflammatory, anti-tumorigenic, anti-microbial, antiperoxidation and free radical scavenging activities in wide range of models using mouse, rats and guinea pigs (Thornfeldt, 2005). Most have neither undergone phase 2 or 3 clinical trials nor randomized studies and their efficacy remain unproven. The so called better cosmeceuticals have fared worse on rigorous testing. Though, high concentrations of Vitamins C and E do indeed protect against acute ultraviolet skin damage, the low concentrations in most comseceuticals have not been shown to be effective(Farris, 2005). Furthermore, the stability of these vitamins is compromised as soon as the product is exposed to light and air (Glaser, 2004). Finally, they are often incorporated into cosmeceuticals as esters or mixtures of isomers that are neither absorbed nor metabolized by the skin (Thornfeldt, 2005). Generally cosmeceuticals that contains 15% Vitamin C probably have some effect on wrinkles (Farris, 2005). Such lines of products are called Skinceuticals probably to emphasize that traditional cosmeceuticals do not contain such a high amount of vitamins C.

IV. THE TOXICITY OF COSMECEUTICALS

The term 'natural' is frequently used for most components of cosmeceuticals and willingly or unwillingly connotes safety. This is far from the truth. Carbaryl, the only constituent of veterinary cosmeceuticals with documented toxicity profile, has an oral LD50 of 100 mg/kg in mice and 250 mg/kg in rats (Carpenter, 1961). Though, it is rapidly metabolized by human and animals and does not accumulate, low doses have been known to cause dermal and eye irritation in rabbits despite that the dermal LD50 in rabbits is quoted as greater than

2000 mg/kg (Haward, 1991). Vitamin E has been shown to cause a significant increase in contact dermatitis (Burke, 2007) while the anti-oxidant P-hydroxyanisole increases skin pigmentation (Choi and Berson, 2006). Some component peptides have also been shown to be carcinogenic (Burke, 2007). Perhaps the greatest danger is from deliberate adulterations and incorporation of harmful products like steroids and retinoid. These can lead to devastating skin and systemic changes (Sorg et al., 2006). Microbial contaminants have been reported with unfavorable consequences (Choi and Berson, 2006).

V. CONCLUSION

Cosmeceuticals are not drugs but are claimed to have drug like effects. The claims are largely unsubstantiated and the term, though misleading, has probably come to stay. The term and the target consumers appear flamboyant enough to withstand government regulations. In a free trade world, the benefits and adverse effects of cosmeceuticals are probably optimized by frequent review to inform the clinical and public stake holders of their uses and limitations.

VI. REFERENCES

- [1] Baron RL (1991). Carbamate Insecticides; in Handbook of Pesticide Toxicology, Volume 3, Classes of Pesticides. Wayland J. Hayyes, Jr. and Edward R. Lawes, Jr. editors. Academic Press, Inc. NY. USA. p. 671.
- [2] Baumann LS (2007). Less-known botanical cosmeceuticals. *Dermatol Ther.* 20(5): 330-42.
- [3] Burke KE (2007). Interaction of vitamins C and E as better cosmeceuticals. *Dermatol. Ther.* 20(5): 314-321. Carpenter CP (1961). Mammalian toxicity of 1-Naphthyl-N-Methylcarbamate (Sevin Insecticide). *Agric. Food Chem.* 9(1): 30-39.
- [4] Chiu PC, Chan CC, Lin HM, Chiu HC (2007). The clinical anti-aging effects of topical kinetin and niacinamide in Asians: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, split-face comparative trial. *J. Cosmet. Dermatol.* 6(4): 243-249.
- [6] Choi CM, Berson DS (2006). Cosmeceuticals. *Semin. Cutan. Med. Surg.* 25(3): 163-168.
- [7] Draelos ZD (1997). New developments in cosmetics and skin care products. *Adv. Dermatol.* 12: 3-17.
- [8] Farris PK (2005). Topical vitamin C: A useful agent for treating photo aging and other dermatologic conditions. *Dermatol. Surg.* 31(7 Pt 2): 814-817.
- [9] Glaser DA (2004). Anti-aging products and cosmeceuticals. *Facial Plast. Surg. Clin. North Am.* 12(3): 363-372.
- [10] Haward PH (1991). Handbook of Environmental Fate and Exposure data for Organic Chemicals, Volume III. Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, MI. USA. p. 28.
- [11] Granato H (2000). Promoting Natural pet health. <http://www.naturalproductsmarketplace.com/articles/061feat3.html> Accessed 31/03/08.
- [12] Janson Beckett (2008). Animal friendly cosmeceuticals http://www.evitamins.com/product_grid.asp?brandid=Janson+Beckett Accessed 31/03/08.
- [13] Kilgman AM (2005). Cosmeceuticals: A broad-spectrum category between cosmetics and drugs. In: Elsner P, Maibach H, eds. *Cosmeceuticals and Active Cosmetics. Drug versus Cosmetics*, Boca Raton, Fla: Tylor and Francis pp. 1-9.
- [14] Lupo MP, Cole AL (2007). Cosmeceutical peptides. *Dermatol. Ther.* 20(5): 343-349.
- [15] Mehta RC, Fitzpatrick RE (2007). Endogenous growth factors as cosmeceuticals. *Dermatol. Ther.* 20(5): 350-359.
- [16] Mera-Pet (2008). Products. <http://www.merapet.com/>. Accessed 31/03/08
- [17] Millikan LE (2001). Cosmetology, cosmetics, cosmeceuticals: definitions and regulations. *Clin. Dermatol.* 19(4): 371-374.
- [18] Rattan SI (2007). The science of healthy aging: genes, milieu and chance. *Ann N Y Acad. Sci.* 1114: 1-10.
- [19] Rona C, Vailati F, Berardesca E (2004). The cosmetic treatment of wrinkles. *J. Cosmet. Dermatol.* 3(1): 26-34.
- [20] Sorg O, Antille C, Kaya G, Saurat JH (2006). Retinoids in cosmeceuticals. *Dermatol. Ther.* 19(5): 289-296.
- [21] Thornfeldt CR (2005). Cosmeceuticals: separating fact from voodoo science. *Skinmed.* 4(4): 214-220.
- [22] Vermeer BJ, Gilchrest BA (1996). Cosmeceuticals. A proposal for rational definition, evaluation and regulation. *Arch Dermatol.* 132(3): 337-340.